





ACCESS IT Plus – Training workshop in Veria (March '12) Session 1: Building digital collections

Speaker: Marcin Werla (mwerla@man.poznan.pl)







## How to create good collections?

- Base material for this session is "A framework of guidance for building good digital collections" prepared by NISO
  - http://framework.niso.org/
  - http://www.niso.org/publications/rp/framework3.pdf

It is cited in several places on these slides.

- The framework is organised around four core types of entities:
  - collections organized groups of objects
  - objects digital materials
  - metadata information about objects and collections
  - Initiatives programs or projects to create and manage collections



- Creating a good, useful collection is directly associated with choosing objects for digitisation
- Consistent selection of digital objects facilitates their discovery and use
  - A good digital collection should be useful and relevant in the context of the needs of users
  - The meaning of a good collection changes over time



- Other factors considered among critical features of a good collection include
  - cost/value issues
  - interoperability
  - sustainability
  - trust
- Collections and their objects should no longer be seen just in the context of a project, but also as building blocks that others can reuse



- Goodness now demands:
  - interoperability,
  - reusability,
  - persistence,
  - verification,
  - documentation,
  - and support for intellectual property rights.



- Good digital collections are becoming an active collaboration between the information professionals and the user
- A digital collection can contain not only results of digitisation but also born digital materials
  - This is particularly important in the context of user-generated content related to the theme of the collection



#### **Short exercise**

- On the next slides we will discuss 9 collection principles
- Please rate each of these 9 principles in scale
  - + 2 = It is very important and not so obvious, deserves to be "principle"
  - +1 = I find it useful and it is worth to remember about it
    - 0 = Neutral
  - 1 = It is quite obvious for me
  - 2 = It is really obvious and does not deserve to be "principle"
    Ratings will be summarized after all principles will be presented keep them in secret for now to avoid influencing each other
- The aim
  - is not to test how professional you are ☺
  - but to see if such sets of principles makes sense



- A good digital collection is created according to an explicit collection development policy that has been agreed upon and documented before building the collection begins
  - The collection should support the organization's mission
  - Collection builders should identify the target audience but also think about unexpected uses and users
  - In some cases strict selection policy may not be required:
    - · When?
      - E.g. digitisation on demand, mass digitisation
  - It is worth publishing the collection development policy in order to inform users what they can expect in the digital library



- Collections should be described so that a user can discover characteristics of the collection
  - What can be included in the description of a collection?
    - its scope, format, restrictions on access, ownership, and any information significant for determining the collection's authenticity, integrity, and interpretation
- Publication of detailed information about the collection might be helpful also for others during their digitisation efforts
- A description can be created using a given metadata standard (e.g. Z39.91 Collection Description Standard, Dublin Core Collections Application Profile) or narrative text



- A good collection is curated its resources are actively managed during their entire lifecycle
- Curation may include:
  - creating, correcting and enhancing metadata,
  - correcting or enhancing the data itself,
  - adding annotations, linkages to other materials, or other enriching information.



- A good collection is broadly available and avoids unnecessary impediments to use
- This principle is associated with three attributes: availability, usability and accessibility
  - Availability means that the collection should be accessible and usable for all authorized people. In particular, the collection should be available in the Web.
    - Availability does not imply that the use of all materials should be free and unrestricted.
  - Usability means that the collection should be easy to browse/search and use for most users.
  - Accessibility means that the collection interface should be available also for people with disabilities, i.e. visual impairments, loss of hearing.



# **Collections Principle 5**

 A good collection respects intellectual property rights.



- A good collection has mechanisms to supply usage data and other data that allows standardized measures of usefulness to be recorded.
- The digital collection should be evaluated periodically to monitor its usage, assess service effectiveness, demonstrate return on investment, inform collection development, inform strategic planning and support funding request.
- Evaluation can be performed using various methods including: surveys, interviews, observation, case study and log analyses.



- A good collection is interoperable.
  - Collection developers should design their services to support interoperability, i.e. ability to share their metadata with external search engines like Google or OAI-PMH compatible services



- A good collection integrates into the users own workflow.
  - Collection building (digital library building and development) should be included into existing staff workflows.
  - End users find information most useful when it integrates smoothly with their own patterns of work. A faculty member looking for research articles and a recreational genealogist building an electronic family tree will work in different places, at different times, and using different tools. However each will use a digital collection more comfortably if they can access it from the environment with which they are familiar.



- A good collection is sustainable over time
- Sustainability of a digital collection is not only a problem of software and hardware. It is also important to secure organizational, financial and technical perspective.



## **Examples of collections**

- Public Digital Archive of Agnieszka Osiecka
- Armenian Rare Books
- DART-Europe E-thesis Portal
- Darwin 200
- Cartoon Drawings: Herblock Collection
- Manuscriptorium



# What do you think about it?

- What are the results of our exercise?
- Would you add some additional principles?



# Summary of objects selection criteria

- The material may be chosen in order to:
  - Meet the criteria of an external funding body
  - Enable cooperation with another institution
  - Coincide with a particular anniversary
  - Increase accessibility of this material, which would be otherwise unavailable or of limited availability
  - Ensure the preservation of this material
- Beside of the above, material may NOT be chosen because of:
  - Availability of existing digital versions
  - Cost of digitisation
  - Copyright and IPR

**–** ...



- Disclaimer:
  - The following slides are not a legal advice ☺
  - Please consult it with someone well knowing the legal system of your country before doing some serious things



- Intellectual property rights (IPR) must be considered from several points of view
  - what rights the owners of the original source materials retain in their materials;
  - what rights or permissions the collection developers have to digitize content and/or make it available;
  - what rights or permissions the users of the digital collection are given, to make subsequent use of the materials.



- Infringement of copyright occurs when a person carries out an activity that is restricted by the copyright legislation without authorization from the copyright owner
- These restricted acts include:
  - Copying material
  - Issuing or making available copies to the public
  - Showing, playing or broadcasting or filming
  - Adapting or amending material



- In general, copying of protected material is prohibited but under certain circumstances it is possible to reproduce objects: such exceptions are called fair use.
- The main idea behind the fair use is that a given activity does not reduce economic benefits of the copyright holder.
- Examples:
  - Copying for non-commercial research or private study e.g. downloading images from the Internet and storing them on the hard disk of your computer this is OK, as long as it is only personal use, and you must not put this image on your website
  - For criticism or review e.g. an image with a music album cover presented in a newspaper next to its review.
  - For the purpose of examination in an authorised educational establishment e.g. a lecturer can download a digital work and distribute it among class for the examination purpose.
- There is also an exception called "Library Privileges" which allows librarians and archivists to make a copy on behalf of their customers. This is fine as long as the copy is used for non-commercial research or private study



- Copyright protection is not endless, and its duration for any work can be calculated and depends from the law of country where given work of art was created.
  - For literary and artistic works if the creator is known, in most of the countries copyright lasts for 70 years from the death of the creator of the work.
  - In terms of published anonymous works, in most of the countries copyright lasts for 70 years since the publication date.



- Objects having no copyright become a part of "Public Domain"- can be used without IPR limitations.
  - The term "Public Domain" is present only in some legal systems (e.g. not in Poland)
  - The reason for Public Domain existence is that such an open domain is necessary for unconstrained development of culture and science
- If the owners of the copyright are unknown or untraceable, "orphan works" come to existence.
  - In such case the institution which is willing to digitize a given resource will take a risk of copyright violation



#### **Overview of IPR**

 Calculating the copyright risk (e.g. for putting orphan works on the Internet)

The financial risk =  $A \times B \times C \times D$ 

- A the chances that what has been done is infringement
- B the chances that the copyright owner becomes aware of such infringement
- C the chances that having become aware, the owner sues
  - any ideas how to minimize it?
    - "takedown policy" is the absolute minimum
- D the financial cost for such legal action
- This is just to provide new way of looking at copyright issues, although such approach is unthinkable in some countries

Source: <a href="http://www.slideshare.net/lisbk/innovation-and-the-social-web-learning-from-commercial-approaches">http://www.slideshare.net/lisbk/innovation-and-the-social-web-learning-from-commercial-approaches</a>



- Digitisation of public domain object is not creating new IPR
  - Any ideas for exceptions here?
    - As long as it is "non artistic" digitisation
- Of course it is not obligatory to put highest quality images on-line, but what is put on-line and comes from public domain can be freely used
  - Even if some security mechanisms are provided



- Useful web systems (for use at your own responsibility):
  - Choosing the right license for your works:
    - http://creativecommons.org/choose/
    - Jurisdiction is very important here!
  - Determining if object is still under copyright
    - http://outofcopyright.eu/calculator.html



- Your institution should maintain the registry of data about the rights that your institution hold and acquire.
- Such a registry should include at least the following information:
  - The identification of the resource itself
  - The name of the entity granting the rights
  - The precise rights that are being granted and any specific exclusions
  - The period of the time for which rights are granted
  - The groups of users permitted to use the resource
  - Any obligations of the users of the resource (including financial obligations)
  - All licensing agreements must be monitored and re-negotiated, if required
- All legal issues (including IPR) should be carefully analyzed in the context of legal regulations of a particular country in which the digitisation project takes place



End of session 1: Building digital collections

## Poznań Supercomputing and Networking Center

affiliated to the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Noskowskiego 12/14, 61-704 Poznań, POLAND,

Office: phone center: (+48 61) 858-20-00,

fax: (+48 61) 852-59-54,

e-mail: office@man.poznan.pl, http://www.man.poznan.pl